

# NorthOaks 

Building on a tradition of innovation

## CITY OF NORTH OAKS

Regular City Council Meeting<br>Thursday, July 14, 2022<br>7 PM, Community Meeting Room, 100 Village Center Drive MEETING AGENDA

Remote Access - Some or all City Council members may participate by telephone or other electronic means pursuant to Minn. Stat. §13D.021. Any person wishing to monitor the meeting electronically from a remote location may do so by calling the following Zoom meeting videoconference number: 1-312-626-6799, Webinar ID:
84961068688 or by joining the meeting via the following link: https://us02 web.zoom.us/j/84961068688. Individuals wishing to monitor the meeting remotely may do so in real time by watching the livestream of the meeting on North Oaks Channel 16 and on the City's website. Due to the existing COVID-19 Health Pandemic, no more than five (5) members of the public may be in Council Chambers (Community Room, 100 Village Center Drive, MN) during the meeting. Once room capacity is met, anyone wishing to attend the meeting above the five (5) members of the public who may be present in the room during the meeting will be required to monitor the meeting remotely.

## 1. Call to Order

## 2. Roll Call

## 3. Pledge of Allegiance

4. Citizen Comments - Members of the public are invited to make comments to the Council during the public comments section. Up to four minutes shall be allowed for each speaker. No action will be taken by the Council on items raised during the public comment period unless the item appears as an agenda item for action.

## 5. Approval of Agenda

6. Consent Agenda - These are items that are considered routine and can be acted upon with one vote. 6a. City Financials for June 2022

EFT: 000573E-000589E; Check \#014431-014467
June 2022 Checks EFT Issued.pdf
June 2022 Register Summary.pdf

6b. Licenses for Approval:
Arborist: Xtreme Climbing Tree Service
Mechanical: Action Heating and Air, Boy's Mechanical DBA Service Today!; Condor Fireplace \& Stone; Conway Mechanical; Custom Air, Heating, \& AC Inc.; Flare Heating and Air Conditioning; Great West Mechanical; Hometown Heating and Cooling, LLP; J\&A Mechanical LLC; J Dubs LLC; KB Service Company; McQuillan Brothers; Sabre Plumbing Heating \& A/C, Inc.; Sunderland Plumbing, Inc.

6c. Hill Farm Historical Society Liquor License HFHS Liquor License Request 2022.pdf

6d. Approval of City Council Meeting minutes of June 9, 2022
06.09.2022 CC Minutes.pdf

6e.Approve Resolution for 16 Sherwood Trail home height Conditional Use Permit
2022-07-14 CC Packet 16 Sherwood Trail CUP Height.pdf
2022-07-14 Resolution for CUP.pdf
6f.Approve Resolution for 38 Catbird Lane home height Conditional Use Permit 2022-07-14 CC Packet 38 Catbird Lane CUP Height.pdf

2022-07-14 Resolution for CUP (003).pdf
7. Petitions, Requests \& Communications -

Deputy Mike Burrell Report

## 8. Unfinished Business

8a.Discussion and possible action on CLCA request to amend 2040 Comprehensive Plan
8b.Discussion and possible action on speeding in North Oaks and other traffic calming measures, update on Safety Forum

## 9. New Business

## 10. Council Member Reports

## 11. City Administrator Reports

## 12. City Attorney Reports

12a.Closed session pursuant to Minnesota Statutes, Section 13D.05, Subdivision 3(b) to discuss matters protected by the attorney-client privilege relating to the threatened lawsuit pertaining to the Lepoutre Family Revocable Trust's April 28, 2022 Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment Request

## 13. Miscellaneous

13a.City Forester Report - June 2022
14. Adjournment - The next meeting of the City Council is Thursday, August 11, 2022.

Cks 6/10/2022-7/14/2022

Chack Amt Involce Comment


| Pald Chk\# 000581E | 6/20/2022 PERA |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E 101-41300-131 | HEALTH, DENTAL, LIFE, LTD IN | $\$ 1,313.63$ | PP 06/23/22 | PP 08/23/22 |


| Paid Chk\# 000582E 7/5/2022 PAYROLL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-41300-101 WAGES - FULL TIME | \$7,640,82 070722 | Full time staff |
| E 101-41300-103 WAGES - PART TIME/TEMP | \$1,676.83 070722 | Part time Staff |
| E 101-41300-131 HEALTH, DENTAL, LIFE, LTD IN | \$716.30 070722 | PERA (7.5\%) |
| E 101-41300-122 FICA CONTRIBUTIONS | \$730.66 070722 | FICA |
| G 101-21701 FEDERAL TAXES PAYABLE | \$1,150.17 070722 | FWH |
| G 101-21701 FEDERAL TAXES PAYABLE | $(\$ 1,150.17) 070722$ | FWH |
| E 101-41300-131 HEALTH, DENTAL, LIFE, LTD IN | (\$1,337.09) 070722 | PERA |
| G 101-21702 STATE TAXES PAYABLE | \$492.37 070722 | SWH |
| G 101-21702 STATE TAXES PAYABLE | (\$492.37) 070722 | SWH |
| E 101-41300-132 CAR ALLOWANCE | \$333.33 070722 | Car Allowance |
| Total PAYROLL | \$9,660.85 |  |


| Paid Chk\# 000583E | $7 / 5 / 2022$ | ADP |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E 101-41300-131 | HEALTH, DENTAL, LIFE, LTD IN | $\$ 113.58$ | $06 / 23 / 22 ~ P$ |  |
|  |  | Total ADP | $\$ 113.58$ |  |


| Pald Chk\# 000584E | 7/5/2022 PERA |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E 101-41300-131 | HEALTH, DENTAL, LIFE, LTD IN | $\$ 1,337.09$ | PP 07/07/22 | PP 07/07/22 |

## *Check Detall Register®

|  | Cks 6/10/2022-7/14/2022 <br> Chack Amt Involce | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total PERA | \$1,337.09 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 000585E 7/5/2022 PAYROLL |  |  |
| E 101-41100-103 WAGES - PART TIMEITEMP | \$2,026.00 07012022 | City Council |
| E 101-41100-103 WAGES -PART TIME/TEMP | \$435.00 07012022 | Planning Commission |
| E 101-41300-122 FICA CONTRIBUTIONS | \$188.22 07012022 | FICA |
| Total PAYROLL | \$2,848.22 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 000586E 7/11/2022 ADP |  |  |
| E 101-41300-131 HEALTH, DENTAL, LIFE, LTD IN | \$139.89 | 07/07/22 PR |
| Total ADP | \$139.89 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 000587E 7/12/2022 DEPARTMENT OF L | OR \& INDUSTRY |  |
| E 101-42400-451 BUILDING PERMIT SURCHARG | \$8,421.82 | 2nd Qtr Surcharge |
| )tal DEPARTMENT OF LABOR \& INDUSTRY | \$8,421.82 |  |
| Paid Chk\# 000588E 6/30/2022 AUTHNET |  |  |
| E 101-41300-210 OPERATING SUPPLIES | \$25.00 | Monthly CC Fee |
| Total AUTHNET | \$25.00 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 000589E 6/30/2022 Global Payments |  |  |
| E 101-41300-210 OPERATING SUPPLIES | \$25.00 | Monthly CC Fee |
| Total Global Payments | \$25.00 |  |
| Paild Chk\# 014431 6/13/2022 HR GREEN, INC. |  |  |
| E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES | \$1,000.00 153260 | Clty 8: PC Meetings |
| E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES | \$1,000,00 153260 | General Englneering |
| G 801-22018 EAST OAKS PUD ESCROW | \$4,688.80 153260 | Island Fleld |
| G 801-22018 EAST OAKS PUD ESCROW | \$4,039.25 153260 | Gate HIII |
| E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES | \$1,440.17 153260 | North Oaks Golf Club |
| E 601-49550-335 AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN (AR | \$2,094.50 153260 | Comp Utillty Plan |
| E 601-49550-335 AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN (AR | \$2,205.60 153260 | Water Loss Analysis |
| E 601-49400-330 SEWER \& WATER MISC | \$247.00 153260 | Asset Management Plan |
| E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES | \$47.97 153260 | 10 Sherwood CUP |
| E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES | \$247.00 153260 | 16 Sherwood CUP |
| E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES | \$2,840.50 153260 | Nord Lots 1/2 |
| E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES | \$247.00 163260 | Surface Water Mgmt |
| E 101-41900-303 ENG/NEERING SERVICES | \$3,581.50 153260 | Safety/Speed Study - Rapp Farm |
| Total HR GREEN, INC. | \$23,65e. 19 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014432 6/13/2022 NINENORTH |  |  |
| E 101-41420-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$1,227.33 2022-099 | May Services |
| Total NINENORTH | \$1,227.33 |  |
| Paid Chk\# 014433 6/13/2022 Press Publlcatlons |  |  |
| E 101-41100-350 PUBLISHING \& ADVERTISING | \$89.10 | Legal Notice-16 Sherwood |
| Total Press Publications | \$89.10 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014434 7/14/2022 ANNIE IDEKER |  |  |
| G 801-22003 POOL FENCE ESCROW | \$13,876.00 | Pool Fence Escrow Release |
| Total ANNIE IDEKER | \$13,875.00 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014435 7/14/2022 Clty of Rosaville <br> E 101-41300-309 COMPUTER/NTERNET/G\|S SU | \$2,490.18 | IT Services - July 2022 |

## Cke 6/10/2022-7/14/2022

Check Amt Involce Comment
Total Clty of Roseville $\quad \$ 2,490.18$

| Pald Chk\# 014436 7/14/2022 CVICPLU8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-41300-430 MISCNEBSITE/DOMAIN/OPG | \$2,468.43 232622 | ClvicReady Annual Fee |
| Total CVICPLUS | \$2,468.43 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014437 7/14/2022 COMCAST |  |  |
| E 101-41300-309 COMPUTER/NTERNET/GIS SU | \$450.71 | Dark Fiber - June |
| Total COMCAST | \$450.71 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014438 7/14/2022 Connexus Energy |  |  |
| E 101-43100-381 ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$7.74 | 5919 Centerville |
| Total Connaxus Energy | \$7.74 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014439 7/14/2022 Gopher State One-Call, Inc. |  |  |
| E 101-42300-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$98.55 2080836 | Monthly Services - June |
| Total Gopher State One-Call, Inc. | \$98.55 |  |

Pald Chk\# 014440 7/14/2022 HR GREEN, INC.

E 101-41900-303 ENGJNEERING SERVICES
E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES
G 801-22018 EAST OAKS PUD ESCROW G 801-22018 EAST OAKS PUD ESCROW E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES G 801-22012 RED FOREST WAY II ESCROW E 601-49550-335 AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN (AR E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES G 801-22020 NORD ESCROW E 101-41900-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES E 101-41800-303 ENGINEERING SERVICES Total HR GREEN, INC.

| $\$ 1,500.00$ | 153946 | CC \& PC Mtgs |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1,000.00$ | 163946 | General Services |
| $\$ 4,530.09$ | 153946 | Island Fleld |
| $\$ 2,902.25$ | 153946 | Gate HIII |
| $\$ 821.16$ | 153946 | North Oaks Golf Club |
| $\$ 5,611.33$ | 153946 | Red Forest Way |
| $\$ 1,456.27$ | 153946 | Water Lose Analysis |
| $\$ 988.00$ | 153946 | 16 Sherwood CUP |
| $\$ 4,446.00$ | 153946 | Nord Lots 1/2 Revlew |
| $\$ 1,976.00$ | 153946 | City WIde Traffic Calming |
| $\$ 1,769.37$ | 153946 | Safety/Spped Study for Rapp Farm |
| $\mathbf{2 7 , 0 0 1 . 3 7}$ |  |  |

CC \& PC Mtgs General Services Island Fleld
Gate HIII
North Oaks Golf Club
Red Forest Way 16 Sherwood CUP
Nord Lots 1/2 Review
Clity Wide Traffic Calming Safety/Spped Study for Rapp Farm

| Paid Chk\# 014441 | 7/14/2022 Kelly \& Lemmons, P.A. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-41800-315 | LEGAL FEES - PROSECUTION | $\$ 980.00$ | Prosecution Services - June |
|  | Total Kelly \& Lemmons, P.A. | $\$ 980.00$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Pald Chk\# 014442 7/14/2022 KENNEDY \& GRAVEN, CHARTERED |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-41600-304 LEGAL FEES - GENERAL | \$3,306.00 |  | General Legal Fees |
| E 101-41600-306 LAWSUIT | \$700.00 |  | Gate HIII Lawsult |
| Total KENNEDY \& GRAVEN, CHARTERED | \$4,006.00 |  |  |
| Pald Chk\#\# 014443 7/14/2022 LANDFORM PROFE88IONAL8 |  |  |  |
| E 101-41910-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$728.50 | 33069 | Planning Services - May |
| E 101-41010-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$2,301.00 | 33069 | LePoutre |
| E 101-41910-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$181.00 | 33069 | 12 Sherwood Trail |
| E 101-41910-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$2,930.00 | 33069 | NOHOA Re-zoning |
| E 101-41010-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$378.00 | 33069 | 38 Catblrd CUP |
| E 101-41010-300 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | \$324.00 | 33069 | Sherwood Trall |
| Total LANDFORM PROFES8IONALS | \$6,842.50 |  |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014444 7/14/2022 LEONARD CARL PROF 3ERVICES |  |  |  |
| E 101-42400-313 CONTRACT SERVICES | \$136,267.27 | June 2022 | June Permit \& Plan Review |
| Total LEONARD CARL PROF SERVICES | \$136,267.27 |  |  |

## *Check Detail Register®



| Pald Chk\# 014454 | 7/14/2022 Premlum Waters, Inc. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-41300-210 | OPERATING SUPPLIES | $\$ 32.43$ | Equlpment \& Water Service |
|  | Total Premlum Waters, Inc. | $\$ 32.43$ |  |


| Pald Chk\# 014455 | 7/14/2022 | Prasa Publlcations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-41100-350 | PUBLISHING | \& ADVERTISING | \$49.50 |  | Ordinance 139 |
|  | Total | Press Publicatlons | \$49.50 |  |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014456 | 7/14/2022 | Ramsey County Elec |  |  |  |
| E 101-41400-300 | PROFESSIO | NAL SERVICES | \$4,430.00 | PRRRV-00189 | Election Services |
|  | Total Ramsey | ey County Electlons | \$4,430.00 |  |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014457 | 7/14/2022 | Ramsey County She |  |  |  |
| E 101-42100-313 | CONTRACT | SERVICES | \$73,888.28 |  | Pollce \& CSO - July |

## Cks 6/10/2022-7/14/2022

Check Amt Involce Comment

## Total Ramsey County Sherlff

$\$ 73,888.28$

| Pald Chk\# 014458 | 7/i4/2022 | Ramsey County-Emergency Commun |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-42100-313 | CONTRACT SERVICES | $\$ 1,585.33$ | 911 Dlspatch - June |
| E 101-42100-313 | CONTRACT SERVICES | $\$ 9.38$ | Fleet Support - June |
| E 101-42100-313 CONTRACT SERVICES | $\$ 262.79$ | CAD Services - June |  |
| Total Ramsey County-Emergency Commun | $\$ 1,857.48$ |  |  |


| Pald Chk\# 014459 | 7/14/2022 | RECYCLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| E 101-43200-430 | MISC/WEBSITE/DOMAIN/OPG | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 7 3 2 . 9 5} 226140$ | Recycling Event $6 / 25 / 22$ |  |
| Total | RECYCLE TECHNOLOGIE5, INC | $\$ 5,732.95$ |  |  |


| Pald Chk\# 014460 | 7/14/2022 | REHDER FORESTRY CONSULTING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-46100-316 TREE PRESERVATION | $\$ 1,769.79$ | June 2022 Forestry |  |
| Total REHDER FORESTRY CONSULTING | $\$ 1,769.79$ |  |  |


| Paid Chk\# 014461 7/14/2022 ROADKILL ANILAL CONTROL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-46100-318 | NATURAL RESOURCES | \$119.00 June 2022 | 1 Dead Deer |
| Total ROADKILL ANIMAL CONTROL |  | \$119.00 |  |
| Pald Chk\# 014462 7/14/2022 STAPLES |  |  |  |
| E 101-41300-210 | OPERATING SUPPLIES | \$79.32 | Mlsc. Offlce Supplies |
|  | Total 8TAPLES | \$79.32 |  |

Pald Chk\# 014463 7/14/2022 SUSAN VIERGEVER
G 801-22003 POOL FENCE ESCROW $\$ 13,875.00 \quad$ Pool Fence Escrow Release

Total SUSAN VIERGEVER \$13,875.00
Pald Chk\# 014464 7/14/2022 TIMESAVER OFF SITE SECRETARIAL

| E 101-41100-313 CONTRACT SERVICES | $\$ 227.00$ | June Meetings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total TMESAVER OFF SITE SECRETARIAL | $\$ 227.00$ |  |


| Pald Chk\# 014465 | 7/14/2022 | U. S. Bank |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E 306-47000-611 | BOND INTEREST | $\$ 480.00$ | 2025129 | Debt Service Payment |


| Pald Chk\# 014466 | 7/14/2022 | White Bear Townshlp |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| E 601-49400-383 | WATER - WBT | $\$ 4,450.00$ | 15 Pondvlew Trall |
| E 601-48400-330 | SEWER \& WATER MISC | $\$ 1,907.70$ | NOGC Lif Station \& Hydrant Flushing |
| E 601-49400-383 | WATER - WBT | $\$ 181,350.00$ | 1 Hill Farm Rd - Condos |
| E 601-49400-383 | WATER - WBT | $\$ 4,450.00$ | 3 Pondview Trall |
|  | Total White Bear Townshlp | $\$ 202,167.70$ |  |


| Paid Chk\# 014467 | 7/14/2022 Xcal Energy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 101-41300-381 | ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$112.68 | Clty 303585828 \& 302708070 |
| E 101-43100-381 | ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$97.28 | SIgnals 303740120 \& 303839685 |
| E 101-43100-381 | ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$60.45 | Street Lighting |
| E 408-43000-381 | ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$10.72 | 8 Poplar Ln Aeration |
| E 408-43000-381 | ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$56.39 | 7 WIllow Rd 51-0572815-6 |
| E 601-49400-381 | ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$49.36 | 24 Maycomb, 304471197 |
| E 602-49450-429 | MISC/LIFTSTATIONS | \$43.22 | Liftstation \#3, 302368925 |
| E 602-49450-429 | MISC/LIFTSTATIONS | \$20.17 | Liftstation \#1, 302860004 |
| E 602-49450-429 | MISC/LIFTSTATIONS | \$31.56 | Liftstation \#5, 302894636 |
| E 602-49450-429 | MISC/LIFTSTATIONS | \$41.46 | Liftstation \#2, 302953888 |

## *Check Detail Register©

## Cks 6/10/2022-7/44/2022

Check Amt Involce Comment

|  |  | Check Amt | Involce | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E 602-49450-429 | MISC/LIFTSTATIONS | \$60.08 |  | Liftstation \#9, 304097091 |
| E 602-49450-429 | MISC/LIFTSTATIONS | \$28.48 |  | Liftstation \#11, 304464164 |
| E 802-49450-429 | MISC/LIFTSTATIONS | \$88.82 |  | WIlkinson Blvd, 304097283 |
| E 602-49450-381 | ELECTRIC UTILITIES | \$23.18 |  | 28 Osprey Ct, 304097160 |
|  | Total Xeal Energy | \$723.85 |  |  |
|  | 10100 Checking | \$828,908.51 |  |  |
| Fund Summary |  |  |  |  |
| 10100 Checking |  |  |  |  |
| 101 GENERAL |  | \$334,037.87 |  |  |
| 306 LGWA DEBT |  | \$480.00 |  |  |
| 406 LGWA |  | \$67.11 |  |  |
| 601 WATER |  | \$208,210.33 |  |  |
| 602 SEWER |  | \$212,289.58 |  |  |
| 801 ESCROWS |  | \$73,823.62 |  |  |
|  |  | \$828,908.51 |  |  |

Mayor Kara Ries
$\qquad$ Jim Hara
$\qquad$ Sara Shah
$\qquad$ Thomas Watson
$\qquad$ Rich Dujmovic

Cks 6/10/2022-7/14/2022

|  | Name | Chack Date | Check Amt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10100 Checking |  |  |  |  |
| Pald Chk\# 000573E | ADP | 6/13/2022 | \$113.68 | 06/09/22 PR |
| Pald Chk\# 000576E | Plttney Bows | 6/14/2022 | \$87.45 | Lease |
| Pald Chk\# 000577E | Plttney Bows | 6/15/2022 | \$301.50 | Postage |
| Pald Chk\# 000578E | Escrow | 6/15/2022 | \$19,875.00 | Qulnn Pool Check Returned |
| Pald Chk\# 000580E | PAYROLL | 6/20/2022 | \$8,491.17 | Full time staff |
| Pald Chk\# 000581E | PERA | 6/20/2022 | \$1,313.63 | PP 06/23/22 |
| Paid Chk\# 000582E | PAYROLL | 7/5/2022 | \$9,660.85 | Full time staff |
| Faid Chk\% 000583E | ADP | 7/5/2022 | \$113.58 | 08/23/22 PR |
| Paid Chk\# 000584E | PERA | 7/5/2022 | \$1,337.09 | PP 07/07/22 |
| Pald Chk\% 000585E | PAYROLL | 7/5/2022 | \$2,648.22 | Clity Council |
| Pald Chk\# 000586E | ADP | 7/11/2022 | \$139,89 | 07/07/22 PR |
| Pald Chk\# 000587E | Department of Labor \& Industry | 7/12/2022 | \$8,421.82 | 2nd Qtr Surcharge |
| Pald Chk\# 000588E | AUTHNET | 6/30/2022 | \$25.00 | Monthly CC Fee |
| Paid Chk\# 000589E | Global Payments | 8/30/2022 | \$25.00 | Monthly CC Fee |
| Pald Chk\# 014431 | HR Green, Inc. | 8/13/2022 | \$23,659.10 | Clty \& PC Meetings |
| Paid Chk\# 014432 | Ninenorth | 8/13/2022 | \$1,227.33 | May Services |
| Faid Chk\# 014433 | Press P.ubilications | 8/13/2022 | \$89.10 | Legal Notice- 16 Sherwood |
| Pald Chk\# 014434 | Annle Ideker | 7/14/2022 | \$13,875.00 | Pool Fence Escrow Release |
| Pald Chk\# 014435 | City of Roseville | 7/14/2022 | \$2,490.18 | IT Services - July 2022 |
| Paid Chk\# 014436 | CIVICPLUS | 7/14/2022 | \$2,468.43 | ClvicReady Annual Fee |
| Paid Chk\# 014437 | COMCAST | 7/14/2022 | \$450.71 | Dark Flber - June |
| Paid Chk\# 014438 | Connexus Energy | 7/14/2022 | \$7.74 | 5919 Centerville |
| Fald Chk\# 014439 | Gopher State One-Call, Inc. | 7/14/2022 | \$98.55 | Monthly Services - June |
| Pald Chk\# 014440 | HR Green, Inc. | 7/14/2022 | \$27,001.37 | Island Flatd |
| Pald Chk\# 014441 | Kelly \& Lemmons, P.A. | 7/14/2022 | \$980.00 | Prosecution Services - June |
| Pald Chk\# 014442 | Kennedy \& Graven, Chartered | 7/14/2022 | \$4,006.00 | Gate Hill Lawsult |
| Pald Chk\# 014443 | Landform Professionals | 7/14/2022 | \$6,842.50 | NOHOA Re-zoning |
| Pald Chk\# 014444 | Leonard Carl Prof Services | 7/14/2022 | \$136,267.27 | June Permilt \& Plan Review |
| Pald Chi\# 014445 | Madison Natlonal Life | 7/14/2022 | \$170.84 | LTD \& STD |
| Pald Chk\# 014446 | Marco, Inc. | 7/14/2022 | \$753.13 | Copler Rental |
| Faid Chk\# 014447 | Metro Council Envir Sivc-Sewer | 7/14/2022 | \$5,300.01 | Monthly Sewer |
| Faid Chk\# 014448 | Metro Councll Envir Sive-SAC | 7/14/2022 | \$206,652.60 | 2nd Qtr 2022 SAC Fees |
| Paid Chk\# 014449 | MN PEIP | 7/14/2022 | \$1,952.51 | Coverage 08/01/22-08/31/22 |
| Fald Chk\# 014450 | Ninenorth | 7/14/2022 | \$1,177.33 | June Services |
| Fald Chk\# 014451 | Nykanen Inspections | 7/14/2022 | \$5,468.80 | Electrical Inspections - June |
| Pald Chk\# 014452 | OPG-3, INC. | 7/14/2022 | \$2,560.00 | Laseriche Services |
| Fald Chk\# 014453 | Peterson-Waddle Recycilng | 7/14/2022 | \$26,403.74 | COVID 19 Volume Surcharge (Jul |
| Pald Chk\# 014454 | Premlum Waters, Inc. | 7/14/2022 | \$32.43 | Equipment \& Water Service |
| Paid Chk\# 014455 | Press Pubilcations | 7/14/2022 | \$49.50 | Ordinance 139 |
| Pald Chk\# 014456 | Ramsey County Elections | 7/14/2022 | \$4,430.00 | Election Services |
| Pald Chk\# 014457 | Ramsey County Sheriff | 7/14/2022 | \$73,888.28 | Pollce \& CSO - July |
| Paid Chk\# 014458 | Ramsey County-Emergency Comm | 7/14/2022 | \$1,857.48 | 911 Dlspatch - June |
| Paid Chk\# 014459 | Recycle Technologles, Inc. | 7/14/2022 | \$5,732.95 | Recycling Event 6/25/22 |
| Pald Chk\# 014460 | REHDER FORESTRY CONSULTI | 7/14/2022 | \$1,769.79 | June 2022 Forestry |
| Pald Chk\# 014461 | ROADKILL ANIMAL CONTROL | 7/14/2022 | \$119.00 | 1 Dead Deer |
| Paid Chk\# 014462 | Staples Business Credit | 7/14/2022 | \$79.32 | Misc. Office Supplles |
| Pald Chk\# 014463 | Susan Viergever | 7/14/2022 | \$13,875.00 | Pool Fence Escrow Release |
| Pald Chk\# 014464 | Timesever Off Site Secretarlal | 7/14/2022 | \$227.00 | June Meetlings |
| Pald Chk\# 014465 | U. S. Bank | 7/14/2022 | \$480.00 | Debt Service Payment |
| Pald Chk\# 014466 | White Bear Township | 7/14/2022 | \$202,157.70 | NOGC Lift Station \& Hydrant FI |
| Pald Chk\# 014467 | Xcel Energy | 7/14/2022 | \$723.85 | 28 Osprey Ct, 304097160 |
|  |  | Total Chacks | \$828,908.51 |  |

# *Check Summary Reglster© 

## Cks 6/10/2022-7/14/2022

Check Date Check Amt

|  | Mayor Kara Ries |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\ldots$ | Jim Hara |
|  | Sara Shah |
|  | Thomas Watson |
|  | Rich Dujmovic |

FILTER: None

July 7, 2022
City of North Oaks
Attn: Kevin Kress, City Administrator
100 Village Center Drive, Suite 230
North Oaks, MN 55127
Re: Request for Approval of Hill Farm Historical Society's Application for One Day Liquor License for 2022 Farm Fest

## Dear Kevin:

Enclosed is the Hill Farm Historical Society's application for a one-day liquor permit for the 2022 Farm Fest, to be held on Sunday, September 11, 2022 from 3pm to 7 pm. As you know, HFHS sponsors Farm Fest in September each year. Attendees enjoy farm-related exhibits and music, and can purchase tickets for various activities (including petting zoo, butter making, games, and food and beverage purchases (including beer and wine sales). We also hold a silent auction fundraiser.

I understand that the City typically approves the license/permit application and will then send it to me (or will contact me so I can pick it up at your office). Once the application is approved, we will mail the Application for Liquor Liability Coverage to the Minnesota Joint Underwriters Association with the applicable fee, and will include a copy of this application with the liquor license application.

I also understand that the City usually waives the application fee. If this is not the case, please contact me so I can arrange with our treasurer to write a check for payment.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 651.787.0883 or via email at denisek.kleming@comcast.net. Thank you for your assistance.



Minnesota Department of Public Safety Alcohol and Gambling Enforcement Division 445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1600, St. Paul, MN 55101<br>651-201-7507 TTY 651-282-6555<br>APPLICATION AND PERMIT FOR A 1 DAY TO 4 DAY TEMPORARY ON-SALE LIQUOR LICENSE



Location where permit will be used. If an outdoor area, describe.
It The historic Hill Farm site in Noith Oaks, Minnesota, where there are a number of historical buiblings.
If the applicant will contract for intoxicating liquor service give the name and address of the liquor license providing the service.

Hf the applicant will carry liquor liability insurance please provide the carrier's name and amount of coverage.
Minnessha Joint Underwriting Association, recommended coverage.
APPROVAL
APPLLCATON MUST BE APPROVED BY CITY OR COUNTY BEFORE SUBMITTNG TO ALCOHOLAND GAMBLING ENFORCEMENT

City or County approving the license

Fee Amount
Event in conjunction with a community festival $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
Current population of city

Date Approved

Permit Date

City or County E-mall Address

Signature City Clerk or County Official!
Please Print Name of City Clerk or County Official
Sin Cor em

CLERKS NOTICE: Submit this form to Alcohol and Gambling Enforcement Division 30 days prior to event
No Temp Applications faxed or mailed. Only emailed.
ONE SUBMISSION PER EMAIL, APPLICATION ONLY.
PLEASE PROVIDE A VALID EMAIL ADDRESS FOR THE CITY/COUNTY AS ALL TEMPORARY PERMIT APPROVALS WILL BE SENT BACK VIA EMAIL. E-MAIL THE APPLICATION SIGNED BY CITY/COUNTYTOAGE.TEMPORARYAPPLICATION@STATE.MN.US

# North Oaks City Council <br> Meeting Minutes <br> North Oaks City Council Chambers 

June 9, 2022

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

Acting Mayor Watson called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

## 2. ROLL CALL

Present: Council Members Rich Dujmovic, Jim Hara, and Tom Watson. Mayor Kara Ries and Sara Shah were absent.
Staff Present: Administrator Kevin Kress, Attorney Jim Thomson, Engineer Tim Korby, Engineer John Morast, Kendra Lindahl
Others Present: Videographer Kenny Ronnan
A quorum was declared present.

## 3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Member Watson led the Council in the Pledge of Allegiance.

## 4. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Anne Cremons stated she and her husband David have been neighbors in North Oaks for approximately 29 years and love living here. Tonight she is present to mention some very good friends of their family, Chris and Damien Lepoutre, and she is here to represent them as a friend and character witness. As long as the Cremons' have known the Lepoutres they have been very happy to be residents of North Oaks, very happy to live here, as well as ecologically, environmentally, and civically minded. Mr. Lepoutre is on the Environmental Resources Committee. About a year ago when they decided to downsize from a large home on Black Lake Road the Lepoutres had a vision of building a small, environmentally sensitive house and purchased lots 15 and 17 on North Deep Lake Road. Much later on the Lepoutres invited the Cremons to build a home on 15 North Deep Lake Road, however those plans have been abandoned because of construction costs. The Lepoutres discovered a sewer stub on their lot and would like to pursue hooking up to it at their own cost as they feel it would be more environmentally sensitive than digging up a 5,000 square foot area to put in a septic system. The Cremons' support that, as well as the forward-leaning ideas of solar power and a heat pump which could be a model for other development on those vacant lots. Ms. Cremons is simply here as a citizen to ask the City Council to consider this, she knows there has been some controversy around it, and she feels that it is not a big deal. She knows the Met Council must approve it and if there was a cost to it, she is sure the Lepoutres would maintain that cost. She thanked the City Council for their time and attention and once again asked for their consideration of the matter, noting the ground water affects everyone, utilities belong to everyone, and the more efficiently they can run those utilities and the more environmentally they can develop their lands, the better off they all are in the long run.

Brad Kunz, 9 North Deep Lake Road, is also present to comment on the Lepoutres application. He is directly across from the lots Mr. Lepoutre will be developing and although he was not present at the May 26, 2022 Planning Commission he listened to the recording. Frankly, he was disappointed on the Commissioners quick action on this application. One thing expressed during the meeting is that they were looking for additional information on the location of the pipe. They also seemed to be skeptical of the environmental benefit of going with sewer versus a septic system. Mr. Kunz is an environmental engineer and at different points in his career he has done sustainability assessments and noted the environmental impact of extending a pipe 150 feet to an existing connection versus decades of a sewer truck coming out every two years to do the pumping...there is no comparison as to which is more environmentally sensitive. As direct neighbors, it would be less disruptive in taking down trees and additional impact to create a septic field. Mr. Kunz said when he listened to the Planning Commission meeting he did not hear a specific rationale for denial, rather the rational seemed to be "we don't like it, they bought the property as-is, they should live with it." He wishes there was more discussion and rather than taking a vote that night, they would have tabled it to collect additional information. Mr. Kunz suggests and requests the City Council consider their options and pull the item from the Consent Agenda for some additional discussion and asked if there are options to table it and ask the Planning Commission to reconsider.

Rick Topel, 16 North Deep Lake Road, is also across the street from the Lepoutre properties. He noted they share the wetland that goes underneath the road and the Houstons at 18 North Deep Lake Road feel the same way and do not have a problem with Mr. Lepoutre connecting to the sewer. All of their septic systems fail after so many years and need to be redone so they know the disadvantages of septic systems versus sewer. He stated they support Mr. Lepoutre's venture in trying to get a variance.

Damien Lepoutre joined online and asked to share his screen. He stated he lived for eight years at Black Lake before purchasing the two lots they are talking about tonight where he will build an environmentally-friendly 3,500 square foot home and showed a drawing of the home on screen. He is speaking during Citizen Comments as they have put the item under Consent Agenda and shared that his project is very simple. He showed a slide with the lot, trail easement, existing trees, and the sewer connection which was put there in 2014 while Rapp Farms was being developed. Mr. Lepoutre noted the project is simple and demonstrated on screen where he would like to connect 180 feet of pipe to the sanitary sewer. He noted there would be no work on the side of the lot, no tree cutting, no visual impact, and the pipe is always on higher ground than the sanitary sewer stub as the house is higher so there is no way it can touch the wetlands. If Mr. Lepoutre puts in a septic system as the Planning Commission proposes that would be a high visual impact in plain sight of neighbors, they will have to dig 5,000 cubic feet to install the tank at 10 feet deep so all the water flow would change and run down from the highest point to the wetlands and in addition they would have 5,000 square feet of drainage field on the mound which will also pollute as the septic system just cleans human waste and organisms, not soap and other chemicals. His request is very simple as they can see that this site is not "served by sewer" but in looking back in history they see that Lot 1 was served by sewer in the Comprehensive Plan and showed a map of the draft in 2017. The problem is when North Oaks Company asked to change to septic systems and the City accepted it, at that time they took out the area that would have been served by the sewer connection. Mr. Lepoutre noted the area is served with a pipe and
one year ago he asked for the sewage connection and was told the City Council will not accept change of the proposed Comp Plan before its signature and that he should wait for the signature. He has now learned that the City Council worked on the same change at a larger scale for the Golf Course and he does not understand why it was not a problem for the City to ask for a change before the signature in that case. Once the Comp Plan was signed in January his request was considered by the City Council with one hour of discussion, no decision, and pressure on Staff not to work on it. Mr. Lepoutre was then asked to go through the Planning Commission and that Commission and the City Council now claim the City will not ask for this change even if it is minor because they have never done so. All cities, including North Oaks, have regularly asked for minor or major changes on their Comp Plans and at the same time the City is processing a major change request for Charley Lake. Mr. Lepoutre's request is just a minor change to correct an error and only clarify text and mapping contradiction. He noted this is in addition to the letter he sent today so the City Council can see it could have been a five minute "yes" one year ago or during the February City Council meeting or the Planning Commission. It is such a simple thing to change, it is a minor change, and he hopes the Council can change their Consent Agenda.

Member Watson asked if Mr. Lepoutre is willing to include his presentation slides so the City Council can include it in their materials.

Mr. Lepoutre replied in the affirmative.
Member Hara asked if lot 15 is part of the plan as they have only heard about hooking up 17.
Mr. Lepoutre stated today his request is just for 17 North Deep Lake Road because he does not know what he will do with lot 15 . He wants to make sure that 15 will be as environmentally friendly as lot 17 ; he would prefer lot 15 to be connected to the sewer system, also.

## 5. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Watson spoke with the City Attorney and City Administrator earlier and suggested that item 6e (Approve resolution denying Lepoutre request to amend 2040 Comprehensive Plan for proposed sewer connection) be moved to 9 b under New Business because he heard there was quite a bit of activity this afternoon on the item. He has reviewed the file on this, but he has not read the Planning Commission minutes, and does not see any description of the findings to support the particular action. Chair Azman sent a note to the City Council a few days ago suggesting some improvement in the record. Watson does not see in one place the recitation of whatever number of findings were discussed and needs to see it outlined that would be supportive of the action the Planning Commission took because the decision is coming to the City Council. He noted they put people on the Planning Commission to do that work and he wants to see the record so the City Council can then make a decision as to whether they rely on it or whether they do not. Watson noted the City Council could refer it back to the Planning Commission and have them specifically focus on getting the recitation of the findings very specifically outlined and clarify some of the errors in references to ordinances and State law. This had to do with the prior City Council repealing and reorganizing the ordinance relative to the Planning Commission and they repealed several items that would prevent the City Council from amending ordinances and
perhaps even the Comp Plan. He does not want to "re-hear" the case but to more thoroughly prepare the record.

MOTION by Watson, seconded by Dujmovic, to approve the Agenda as amended with item 6e moved to New Business 9b. Motion carried unanimously by roll call.

## 6. CONSENT AGENDA

6a. Licenses for Approval: Mechanical: Bill Rascher Mechanical; Centraire Heating \& AC; Custom Sheet Metal of Monticello; Homeworks Services; Jayhawk Mechanical; Northern Air Corp; Ron's Mechanical, LLC; Signature Mechanical; Summit Mechanical LLC; Woodland Stoves \& Fireplaces Arborists: A + A Tree and Landscaping4

6b. Approval of Previous Month's Minutes from May 12, 2022 (Special City Council Minutes and regular City Council Minutes)

6c. City financials for the month of May 2022
EFT: 000563E-000572E; Check \#: 014397-014430
6d. Approve ordinance for NOHOA request to rezone parcels from RSL to Recreational
6e. Approve resolution denying Lepoutre request to amend 2040 Comprehensive Plan for proposed sewer connection
This item was moved to New Business item $9 b$.
6f. Approve 1st amendment to tenant sublease and 2nd amendment to tenant master lease for City Offices

MOTION by Hara, seconded by Dujmovic, to approve the Consent Agenda as amended. Motion carried unanimously by roll call.

## 7. PETITIONS, REQUESTS \& COMMUNICATIONS

a. Deputy Pam Lee Report

Deputy Lee was not present.

## 8. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

a. Discussion and possible action on CLCA (Charley Lake Condominium Association) request to amend 2040 Comprehensive Plan

Administrator Kress met with CLCA and the City still needs to do a formal amendment with them. The question tonight is financing the project. There are four options: the City could pull a bond which Kress does not recommend, pull funds from the ARPA funding the City received, pull funds from the Enterprise Funds (water in this case), or have the City or CLCA finance directly with the bank. Staff would like direction from the City Council.

The Council discussed the item with Kress including the use of City funds and the repayment or assessment terms, the use of ARPA funds, assessments, ownership of the system, and term of repayment.

Jim Bowyer, representing CLCA, stated the financing is what is holding this issue back. At the previous Council meeting it was noted that each meeting on engineering details is incurring costs and CLCA does not have an escrow account to cover it so they need action very soon to go ahead. They have requested help on the financing for all the reasons Kress has discussed. The pressing issue is financing the go-ahead from the Council to move forward this fall with the project before costs rise further. They discussed ownership, escrow, who owns the account, and CLCA would also like an answer on that as soon as possible.

Administrator Kress noted without this project there is nothing saying the City could not perform this connection and leave it as a stub until they want to connect to incur some financing build-up.

The Council discussed financing options, ARPA funds, inflation, interest rates, ownership, assessments, and other areas within North Oaks that may need a similar connection in the future. They agreed they like the idea and now need to sit down and formally work through the documents.

Administrator Kress stated in the interim they can put a couple of drafts together and it may be a good workshop item for July so they can have things there and ready to move forward.

## b. Discussion and possible action on speeding in North Oaks and other traffic calming measures, update on Safety Forum

Member Dujmovic thanked those involved in the presentations at the Safety Forum, noting they heard from the community about several issues. The least controversial issue is to engage with the community and see how they feel about traffic calming options as there are multiple designs of which one or two fit best with the community. Trail crossings and frequent speeding areas seem to be areas people would be open to some of the traffic calming. He shared there is a meeting next week with North Oaks Home Owners Association (NOHOA) Safe Roads Safe Communities group and Member Dujmovic will be attending.

The Council discussed areas within the City where there are narrow shoulder areas, noted many people who walk along roads, as well as construction traffic, high speeds, signage and striping, crosswalks, and the lack of people stopping at stop signs.

Member Hara noted he does see the Sherriff out more and if she is writing tickets he thinks that is a helpful path. He thinks the City should do something.

Administrator Kress asked about putting temporary speed bumps in some areas where they have received complaints.

Member Watson asked about the difference between what they would do on an arterial road versus a neighborhood road.

Engineer Morast noted they would take into account the geometrics as they would not want to put a speed hump or raised traffic calming effort on a down- or up-hill location due to the ice in the winter. Trail crossings are an ideal place to look at enhancing safety and reduced speed which could include signage or a pinch point or bump-out where the road narrows but the driving lane does not which helps traffic calm.

Member Dujmovic wants to be sure they collaborate with NOHOA and he will bring all of this to them the following week and share that the City engineers have been engaged to look at site possibilities, stripes, signage, or physical changes. He noted NOHOA has its own engineers, as well.

The Councilmembers continued the discussion.
MOTION by Dujmovic, seconded by Hara, to instruct engineering Staff to look at the top five best ideas for striping, signage, physical traffic calming additions, or other recommendations.

## FRIENDLY AMENDMENT by Hara to add "with specific location." Amendment accepted by Dujmovic. Motion carried unanimously by roll call.

## 9. NEW BUSINESS

a. Discussion and possible action on JPA with White Bear Township and letter received Watson wants the residents of the community to understand they will see this topic appear from time-to-time. The letter in the packet from Attorney Chad Lemmons is to put the City on notice that as of May 2, 2024 White Bear Township will no longer be part of the JPA to supply water. Some communities are taking steps now with 24 months ahead of them. When Member Watson, Mayor Ries, and Administrator Kress met with the White Bear Township Board they learned regarding water consumption that it is 81 gallons per capita. In previous periods the per capita was 110 gallons. White Bear Township is looking at a 75 gallon per capita for residential homes and 90 gallons per capita for commercial/industrial. The DNR came in with a recommendation of 51 gallons per capita. This means White Bear Township will be enforcing some conservation measures such as lawn sprinkling. Watson asked if they implement some conservation measures, why wouldn't North Oaks follow their lead on some of those things.

Member Dujmovic suggested a public forum for North Oaks residents who might be impacted by this to help them understand and not be surprised that there may be conditions along with this.

Attorney Thomson noted the judicial case is still going on and the judge issued a supplemental order saying nothing in her previous order was intended to impose a 55 gallon limit. Attorney Thomson noted the proposal by the DNR has not been implemented. He thinks it is still a story that is unfolding and if the ultimate end is an amendment to the JPA by which the City agrees to implement whatever conservation efforts that the Township implements as a condition of participating, that may be a workable solution.

The Councilmembers discussed next steps, community awareness and education, and what areas of the City would be affected.

## b. Approve resolution denying Lepoutre request to amend 2040 Comprehensive Plan for proposed sewer connection

Member Watson noted this was moved from the Consent Agenda and as touched on earlier they would be sending the item over to the Planning Commission, not to re-hear the case, but to flush out the details on the findings supporting their motion and looking at references and details in the motion itself, as well as making sure they have the ordinance code and citations to State law correct. This would occur at the June Planning Commission meeting.

## MOTION by Hara, seconded by Dujmovic, to table the matter and refer it back to the Planning Commission for additional consideration of the findings. Motion carried unanimously by roll call.

## 10. COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS

Member Hara spent extraordinary amounts of time getting the lease done and acknowledged that Member Watson did a marvelous job getting it to the finish line. The City now has a place to conduct business at a favorable rate. He noted getting out of North Oaks on the east and west sides during morning and evening rush hours is getting more challenging and dangerous. Making a left-hand turn is difficult and he suspects there may be an accident there. As part of the traffic study, perhaps they incorporate both of those intersections.

Member Dujmovic thanked Members Watson and Hara for their hard work on the lease agreement. He noted many people are interested in the contract with Ramsey County and he thinks the Council may want to look at the contract at a workshop to discuss options and expectations. Member Dujmovic took the Natural Resources Commission (NRC) proposal in regards to a climate statement, revised it after the last City Council meeting, circulated it, received feedback from Member Shah, and now he would like the Council and the NRC to take a look and give thoughts. He noted they have many smart people in the community and they do not need to use alarming language or intimidate or scare anyone. Some of the language was divisive or controversial and Member Dujmovic tried to make it as unifying as possible, noting he would like to focus it within the community of North Oaks and not being beholden to another entity. He is very open to deletion, rewriting, or recreating what he wrote.

Member Watson had an inquiry from a resident involved in the Lake Gilfillan augmentation project and there is some desire to extend it to Teal Pond. He shared history of people putting 2 x 4 boards across the culverts to keep the water in Lake Gilfillan which does not allow the water into Teal Pond. Those on the Teal Pond side were happy to remove the boards and watch the water come into the pond...Watson noted this went on for years and people even put padlocks on these things. Low and behold the padlocks would be cut and he noted apparently some of this is still going on. The idea is that this project would be extended to some of the residents for a fee but needs some modifications.

Administrator Kress noted the area gets pretty technical which is why he asked Attorney Thomson to take a look at it. His understanding is that the City owns all the equipment to augment the lake but other than that the lake association is responsible for everything outside of that.

Member Watson is also working with the Fire Board on the long-range plan (a 10-20 year future plan) to address the fact that the north ends of Arden Hills, Shoreview, and North Oaks have some limitations in terms of response times due to long distances. Work is being done on that and Watson noted fire departments are moving more to full-time employed firemen and duty crews. He shared the VLAWMO (Vadnais Lakes Area Water Management Organization) meeting is coming up and there is a grant for NOHOA from VLAWMO to deal with the remodeling at the entrance regarding the two ponds. Member Watson also noted the photos the other Councilmembers saw of the carp removal and noted there were a lot of carp.

## 11. CITY ADMINISTRATOR REPORTS

Administrator Kress has had a discussion with NOHOA about diseased trees, hazard trees, and whose responsibility hazard trees are as the City does not have an ordinance. He noted he has been working with Lake Johanna on some FMLA education on who is eligible as well as helping to redraft some personnel policies and other things missing internally within the organization. Administrator Kress has been working on many different things with the City Planner including church signage requests and a NOHOA request to go industrial and add fencing to help shield noise and dust. He noted they are also working on the laser-fiche software to understand how the scanning software works, receiving some bids for paint and carpet in the Chambers upstairs and downstairs, and he noted the $4^{\text {th }}$ of July is on a Monday and asked the City Council if there is opposition to closing the Friday before, as well.

Member Dujmovic feels Staff has worked pretty darn hard on a bunch of ridiculous requests and he is all for it.

Member Watson noted Friday is a half day right now and he is okay with it.
Administrator Kress noted Juneteenth and does not know if it is a Federal holiday but many cities are closing for it. He is not necessarily encouraging that North Oaks closes for it.

Attorney Thomson stated it is not at the State level yet but some cities are declaring it.
Administrator Kress also noted the recycling event coming up on June 25 at Southpoint.
Engineer Korby shared today the North Oaks Company asked the City to reduce their letter of credit. He does not know what the policy is in reducing a letter of credit before construction is done.

Administrator Kress noted they must show the percentage of work performed, then they go back to the City Council who agrees or disagrees to determine whether they completed $50 \%$ of the
work and then would reduce the letter by $50 \%$. He has been encouraging them to give one letter of credit rather than 4 or 5 so there is no need to lower the letter of credit all the time.

Member Watson asked for caution moving forward as this is another element of the Company's complaint. Second, in checking with Stephanie Marty, she has provided the Company with various amounts of detail on ledgers on a regular basis only to have staff from the Company show up and ask for that same information more than once.

The Councilmembers and Administrator Kress discussed the letter of credit versus cash on hand and history of letters of credit in the City.

Attorney Thomson clarified a letter of credit is not money held in escrow but is a separate bank document and it is fairly common for cities to reduce those if they come in and show proof that there is, for example, only $\$ 50,000$ more work to be done.

Engineer Korby shared they are also working on leak detection around Charley Lake and with Council permission would like to ask residents if they can enter their houses to look at their meter and where the meter is connected. He believes the issue with the misreading of the water and misreading of one million gallons of water in the summer is either the meter, the size of the meter, or where the meter is connected in comparison to where the irrigation water goes in or out.

Member Hara noted someone may have connected their irrigation ahead of the meter.

## 12. CITY ATTORNEY REPORTS

None.

## 13. MISCELLANEOUS

Watson shared the NRC meets on June 16 at 6:00 p.m., Planning Commission will meet on June 30 at 7:00 p.m., and the next City Council meeting is on July 14 at 7:00 p.m.

## 14. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION by Hara, seconded by Dujmovic, to adjourn the meeting at 9:02 p.m. Motion carried unanimously by roll call.

Kevin Kress, City Administrator

Kara Ries, Mayor
Date approved $\qquad$

## PLANNING REPORT

| TO: | North Oaks City Council <br> KROM: <br>  <br> Kendra Lindahl and John Burbank, City Planners <br> Kevin Kress, City Administrator, <br> Jim Thomson, City Attorney, |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Tim Korby and John Morast City Engineers |
| DATE: | July 1, 2022 |
| RE: | 16 Sherwood Trail - Conditional Use Permit <br> Home greater than 35 feet in height |

## Date Application Submitted May 27, 2022

Date Application Determined Complete: May 27, 2022
Planning Commission Meeting Date: June 30, 2022
City Council Meeting Date: July 14, 2022
60-day review Date:
July 26, 2022

## BACKGROUND

Brad and Anna Holschuh have requested the approval of a conditional use permit to allow the construction of a new home at 16 Sherwood Trail (parcel \# 063022140020) to be greater than 35 feet in height.

The subject 5.05 -acre site is zoned RSM-PUD, Residential -Single Family Medium Density in the East Preserve (NORD) Development. Within this district, homes greater than 35 feet are subject to the conditional use permit (CUP) standards and process in Title 1051.050(D)(7) (conditional uses), Title 151.076 (CUP review criteria) and Title 151.079 (CUP Procedures).

The grading on the site to dig the basement and the proposed final grade for the new home disturbs 437 cubic feet of earth; however, it is mostly located in the exemption area. Title 151.027(D) (Land Reclamation) requires a conditional use permit for disturbances of earth greater than 100 cubic yards outside of an area within 25 feet of the building, driveway and parking pad. Less than 100 cubic yards will be disturbed in this area (approximately 41 cubic yards) and a conditional use permit is not required.

The applicant wishes to construct a home with basement lookout windows and resulting side and rear elevations with a height of 40.5 feet at the highest elevation where 35 feet is allowed.

Attached for reference:
Exhibit A: Site Location
Exhibit B: Applicant Narrative
Exhibit C: Building Elevation
Exhibit D: Civil Plans
Exhibit E: Resolution Approving Height CUP

## PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW

The Planning Commission held a public hearing on June $30^{\text {th }}$. Other than the applicant and owner, there was no one present to speak on this item. The Commission voted 6-1 to recommend approval of the conditional use permit for building height.

## ISSUES AND ANALYSIS

Property Description. As shown on the submitted survey, The parcel is currently vacant and is legally described as Tract C, Registered Land Survey (RLS) 634 (East Preserve), City of North Oaks, County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota. The Zoning Ordinance defines building height as "the vertical distance from grade as defined herein to the top ridge of the highest roof surface" and building elevation as "a side view of the building representing the structure as projected geometrically on a vertical plane parallel to its chief dimension."

Evaluation Criteria 1. In consideration of conditional use permit applications to allow for a home greater than 35 feet in height, Section 151.050(D)(7) (conditional uses) of the Zoning Ordinance states that certain criteria must be considered. Such criteria, as well as a Staff response, is provided below:
a. The front elevation of the building does not exceed 35 feet in height at any point.

Staff Comment. The proposed front elevation does not exceed 35 feet in height from grade at any point as dimensioned on sheet A3.2 which is attached. This condition has been satisfied.
b. The building height at any other elevation does not exceed 45 feet.

Staff Comment. The proposed side and rear elevation maximum is 40.5 feet, as dimensioned on sheet 1 of 14 which is attached. This condition has been satisfied.
c. The environmental and topographical conditions of the lot prior to building development are naturally suited to the design of a building with an egress or walkout level

Staff Comment. Based on the review of the plans, topography, site and the Ramsey County interactive property maps and contours, the site and the proposed home appears conducive to the site's natural layout. The applicant did provide test pit excavation information to ensure that groundwater would not be a problem with construction. Three test pits were excavated 15-17 feet deep and no groundwater was observed in any test pits.

Prior to construction, the City will review all erosion control measures to ensure that the construction project does not adversely affect the surrounding environment. The City Engineer will make periodic site visits during construction to ensure all erosion control measures are fully complied with. This condition has been satisfied.
d. Buildings shall be limited to a basement and 2 full stories. Finished areas within the roof structure will be considered a full story.

Staff Comment. The proposed home is 2 full stories with a lookout basement. There was a Commissioner question at the Planning Commission about whether a finished basement constitutes a story and the Commission confirmed that a finished lookout basement does not constitute a full story. A basement is defined in the Zoning Ordinance as "A floor level of a building or structure that has less than an average of $50 \%$ of the perimeter walls exposed above the lowest grade". This condition has been satisfied.
e. Any time the side or rear elevations of a building exceed 35 feet in height within 50 feet of adjacent lot lines, the building shall be setback an additional 2 feet from the adjacent setback line for each foot in height above 35 feet.
northoaks@northoaksmn.gov
www.northoaksmn.gov
100 Village Center Drive, Suite 230
North Oaks, MN 55127
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Staff Comment. The proposed side and rear elevation maximum is 40 feet 6 inches. The proposed building height is 5 feet 6 inches greater than the allowable 35 -foot building height. For every foot above 35 feet, an additional 2 feet of setback is required. This would require all building setbacks to be an additional 11 feet, or 41 feet versus the required 30 -foot setbacks. The proposed setbacks are well in excess of the required 41foot setbacks. This condition has been satisfied.

## Section 151.083 related to cost responsibility is complied with.

Staff Comment. This condition has been satisfied.
Evaluation Criteria 2. In consideration of conditional use permit applications to allow for a home greater than 35 feet in height, Title 151.076 (CUP review Criteria) of the Zoning Ordinance states that certain criteria must be considered. Such criteria, as well as a Staff response, is provided below:

## (1) Relationship of the proposed conditional use to the Comprehensive Plan;

Staff Comment. The 2040 Comprehensive Plan identifies this site to be in a Low Density Single Family Land Use District, which anticipates single family homes. This condition has been satisfied.
(2) The nature of the land and adjacent land or building where the use is to be located.

Staff Comment. The new home will sit on a platted lot of record that was previously deemed appropriate for single family residential use. This condition has been satisfied.
(3) Whether the use will in any way depreciate the area in which it is proposed.

Staff Comment. The proposed home is of similar to other homes in the area and will blend with the neighborhood in a positive way. This condition has been satisfied.
(4) The effect upon traffic into and from the land and on adjoining roads, streets, and highways;

Staff Comment. During the platting process for the subdivision traffic impacts were vetted and the single family residential use was not deemed to have a negative
impact on surrounding roads above normal background traffic growth that accompanies new development. This condition has been satisfied.
(5) Whether the use would disrupt the reasonable use and enjoyment of other land in the neighborhood;

Staff Comment. The proposed request for a building height variance is not out of character with other single family homes of the neighborhood. This condition has been satisfied.
(6) Whether adequate utilities, roads, streets, and other facilities exist or will be available in the near future.

Staff Comment. The subdivision is served by adequate public infrastructure that would not be impacted any differently than if a shorter home was constructed. This condition has been satisfied.
(7) Whether the proposed conditional use conforms to all of the provisions of this chapter.

Staff Comment. This condition has been satisfied.
The effect upon natural drainage patterns onto and from the site.
Staff Comment. Final grading on the site will work with existing contours and drainage patterns. This condition has been satisfied.
(8) Whether the proposed use will be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, comfort, convenience, or general welfare of the neighborhood or the city.

Staff Comment. The additional height requires additional setback distances which decrease impacts on adjacent land uses. This condition has been satisfied.
(9) Whether the proposed use would create additional requirements at public cost for public facilities and services and whether or not the use will be detrimental to the economic welfare of the neighborhood or city; and

Staff Comment. The construction of a taller house would not put any additional burdens on the public than if a shorter single family home was constructed. This condition has been satisfied.
(10) Whether the proposed use is environmentally sound and will not involve uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment, and conditions of operation that will be detrimental to any persons, land, or the general welfare because of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes, wastes, toxins, glare, or odors.

Staff Comment. Beyond initial construction activity, the proposed use and height request should not be deemed detrimental to the environment or surrounding area. This condition has been satisfied.

Evaluation Criteria 3. In consideration of conditional use permit applications to allow for a conditional use permit, Title 151.079 (CUP review Criteria) of the Zoning Ordinance states that certain procedures must be followed when reviewing an application, a Staff response, is provided below:

Staff Comment. The City follows the procedural guidelines for processing Conditional use permit applications. The requirements of this title have been met for this application.

## ACTION

Move to approve the resolution approving the conditional use permit for a 40.5-foot building height where 35 feet is permitted at 16 Sherwood Trail, as recommended by the Planning Commission.
cc: Jennifer Otto, Builder
Gretchen Needham, NOHOA


## Conditional Use Permit Cover Letter - Tract C, RLS No. 634 16 Sherwood Trail

May 27, 2022

## To Whom it may concern:

Brad \& Anna Holschuh, future residents of 16 Sherwood Trail, along with their builder representative Gonyea Homes, Inc., respectfully request a Conditional Use Permit as it relates to the maximum allowable building height in the RSM-PUD district of the East Preserve (NORD) Development in the City of North Oaks. The current ordinance as written in the Development Guidelines, or Appendix 1 to the Planned Development Agreement between North Oaks Land Company and the City of North Oaks, allows for a maximum building height of 35 feet for principal and attached accessory buildings; and in lots suited for walkout homes 45 feet at the back and on the sides measured from the lowest finished grade established by the City approved grading plan, and otherwise measured consistent with the Zoning Ordinance.

As required for a Conditional Use Permit application, this home does meet the following criteria:

- The front elevation of the building does not exceed 35 feet in height at any point.
- The building height at any other elevation does not exceed 45 feet. In this instance, the overall building height at the lookout is $40^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ from finished grade.
- The environmental and topographical conditions of the lot prior to building development are naturally suited to the design of a building with a look-out.
- Buildings shall be limited to a basement and 2 full stories. Finished areas within the roof structure will be considered a full story.
- Anytime the side or rear elevations of a building exceeds 35 feet in height within 50 feet of the adjacent lot lines, the building line shall be setback an additional 2 feet from the adjacent setback line for each foot in height above 35 . With the rear look-out building height of $40^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$, it is required that the side setback be increased by an additional $11^{\prime}$, for a $41^{\prime}$, side yard minimum setback. In this instance, our setback are $79.3^{\prime}, 103.9^{\prime}$, \& 73.8'.

Thank you for your consideration,


Architectural Designer
Gonyea Homes Representative

Exhibit C




# CITY OF NORTH OAKS RAMSEY COUNTY, MINNESOTA RESOLUTION NO. 

## RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A HOME IN EXCESS OF 35 FEET IN HEIGHT FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS 16 SHERWOOD TRAIL

WHEREAS, an application for a Conditional Use Permit has been submitted by Brad and Anna Holschuh and Gonyea Homes, the owner of the real property described below, to allow for the construction of a home in excess of 35 feet in height on real property located at 16 Sherwood Trail, North Oaks, Ramsey County, Minnesota, legal described on the attached EXHIBIT A; and

WHEREAS, a Conditional Use Permit is required for a home in excess of 35 feet in height; and

WHEREAS, the request has been reviewed against the relevant requirements of North Oaks Zoning Ordinance Sections 151.050 and 151.076 , regarding the criteria for issuance of a Conditional Use Permit, and meets the minimum standards, is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, is in conformance with the Zoning Ordinance, and does not have a negative impact on public health, safety, or welfare; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing concerning the Conditional Use Permit was held before the North Oaks Planning Commission in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Section 462.357, subd. 3, on June 30, 2022 at which hearing the Planning Commission voted 6-1 to recommend approval of the Conditional Use Permit application, subject to certain conditions.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF NORTH OAKS, that a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a home in excess of 35 feet in height, is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The home shall be constructed in accordance with the plan sets received on May 27, 2022.
2. The proposed home shall meet all required setbacks and other zoning standards prior to the issuance of a building permit.
3. The conditions of Title $151.027(\mathrm{D}) 2$ shall be satisfied before the issuance of a building permit. The building plan application shall contain an erosion and sediment control plan.
4. No soil stockpiling or vehicular activity shall be permitted in the planned SSTS locations.
5. Tree disturbance should be strategically completed, and remaining trees abutting construction disturbance areas shall have tree protection barriers installed at the dripline.
6. Erosion control shall be in place prior to the beginning of construction.
7. Plans shall be approved by the Building Official prior to the beginning of construction.
8. Any outstanding fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of a building permit.
9. Compliance with comments of other City Staff.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk, Deputy City Clerk, or City Attorney are hereby authorized and directed to record a certified copy of this Resolution with the Ramsey County Registrar of Titles.

Adopted by the City Council of the City of North Oaks this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2022.

By:
Kara Ries
Its: Mayor

Attested:

By:
Kevin Kress
Its: City Administrator

## EXHIBIT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Real property located in Ramsey County, Minnesota legally described as follows:
Tract C, Registered Land Survey No. 634, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
PID: 063022140020

Torrens Property

# CITY OF NORTH OAKS RAMSEY COUNTY, MINNESOTA RESOLUTION NO. 1464 

## RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A HOME IN EXCESS OF 35 FEET IN HEIGHT FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS 16 SHERWOOD TRAIL

WHEREAS, an application for a Conditional Use Permit has been submitted by Brad and Anna Holschuh and Gonyea Homes, the owner of the real property described below, to allow for the construction of a home in excess of 35 feet in height on real property located at 16 Sherwood Trail, North Oaks, Ramsey County, Minnesota, legal described on the attached EXHIBIT A; and

WHEREAS, a Conditional Use Permit is required for a home in excess of 35 feet in height; and

WHEREAS, the request has been reviewed against the relevant requirements of North Oaks Zoning Ordinance Sections 151.050 and 151.076, regarding the criteria for issuance of a Conditional Use Permit, and meets the minimum standards, is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, is in conformance with the Zoning Ordinance, and does not have a negative impact on public health, safety, or welfare; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing concerning the Conditional Use Permit was held before the North Oaks Planning Commission in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Section 462.357, subd. 3, on June 30, 2022 at which hearing the Planning Commission voted 6-1 to recommend approval of the Conditional Use Permit application, subject to certain conditions.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF NORTH OAKS, that a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a home in excess of 35 feet in height, is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The home shall be constructed in accordance with the plan sets received on May 27, 2022.
2. The proposed home shall meet all required setbacks and other zoning standards prior to the issuance of a building permit.
3. The conditions of Title 151.027 (D) 2 shall be satisfied before the issuance of a building permit. The building plan application shall contain an erosion and sediment control plan.
4. No soil stockpiling or vehicular activity shall be permitted in the planned SSTS locations.
5. Tree disturbance should be strategically completed, and remaining trees abutting construction disturbance areas shall have tree protection barriers installed at the dripline.
6. Erosion control shall be in place prior to the beginning of construction.
7. Plans shall be approved by the Building Official prior to the beginning of construction.
8. Any outstanding fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of a building permit.
9. Compliance with comments of other City Staff.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk, Deputy City Clerk, or City Attorney are hereby authorized and directed to record a certified copy of this Resolution with the Ramsey County Registrar of Titles.

Adopted by the City Council of the City of North Oaks this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2022.

| By: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kara Ries |  |
| Its: $\quad$ Mayor |  |

Attested:

By:
Kevin Kress
Its: City Administrator

## EXHIBIT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Real property located in Ramsey County, Minnesota legally described as follows:
Tract C, Registered Land Survey No. 634, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
PID: 063022140020

Torrens Property

## PLANNING REPORT

| TO: | North Oaks City Council <br> FROM: <br>  <br>  <br> Kendra Lindahl and John Burbank, City Planners <br> Kevin Kress, City Administrator <br> Jim Thomson, City Attorney |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Tim Korby and John Morast, City Engineers |
| DATE: | July 1, 2022 |
| RE: | 38 Catbird Lane - Conditional Use Permit <br> Home greater than 35 feet in height and Grading |

Date Application Submitted
Date Application Determined Complete:
Planning Commission Meeting Date:
City Council Meeting Date:
60-day review Date:
120-day review Date:

May 25, 2022
May 25, 2022
June 30, 2022
July 14, 2022
July 24, 2022
September 22, 2022

## BACKGROUND

Luke Kothman of Hendel Homes has requested approval of a conditional use permit to allow the construction of a new home at 38 Catbird Lane (parcel \# 0530224430004) to be greater than 35 feet in height and to grade more than 100 cubic yards. Exhibit $A$ is the site Location Map.

The subject 2.11-acre site is zoned RSL-PUD, Residential -Single Family Low Density in the Red Forest Development. Within this district, homes greater than 35 feet are subject to the conditional use permit (CUP) standards and process in Title 1051.050(D)(7) (conditional uses), Title 151.076 (CUP review criteria) and Title 151.079 (CUP Procedures).

The grading on the site to dig the basement and the proposed final grade for the new home disturbs 1,559 cubic yards of earth. Title 151.027(D) (Land Reclamation) requires a conditional use permit for disturbances of earth greater than 100 cubic yards outside of
an area within 25 feet of the building, driveway and parking pad. It appears that most of the grading will occur within this area and a conditional use permit may not be required.

The applicant wishes to construct a home with basement lookout windows and resulting side and rear elevations with a height of 42 feet and 11 inches at the highest elevation where 35 feet is allowed. The applicants narrative is attached as Exhibit B.

Attached for reference:

## Exhibit A: Site Location

Exhibit B: Applicant Narrative
Exhibit C: Certificate of Survey dated 05/18/2022
Exhibit D: Cut Fill Graphic
Exhibit E: Elevations and Floor Plan
Exhibit F: Proximity Distance Map
Exhibit G: Public Comments
Exhibit H: Resolution approving CUP for building height

## PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW

The Planning Commission held a public hearing on June $30^{\text {th }}$. Other than the applicant, there was no one present to speak on this item.

The Commission voted 6-0-1 to recommend approval of the conditional use permit for building height.

The applicant did not provide grading information as required by ordinance. The Planning Commission voted 7-0 to table the grading conditional use permit to the July meeting to allow the applicant time to gather the required information. If the applicant can show that less than 100 cubic yards of fill will be moved outside of an area within 25 feet of the building, driveway and parking pad, they may withdraw the conditional use permit request.

## ISSUES AND ANALYSIS

Property Description. As shown on the submitted survey attached as Exhibit C, the parcel is currently vacant and is legally described as Tract F Registered Land Survey (RLS) 604, City of North Oaks, County of Ramsey, State of Minnesota. The Zoning Ordinance defines building height as "The vertical distance from grade as defined herein to the top ridge of the highest roof surface" and building elevation as "A side view of the building representing the structure as projected geometrically on a vertical plane parallel to its chief dimension."

Evaluation Criteria 1. In consideration of conditional use permit application to allow for a home greater than 35 feet in height, Section 151.050(D)(7) (conditional uses) of the Zoning Ordinance states that certain criteria must be considered. Such criteria, as well as a Staff response, is provided below:
a. The front elevation of the building does not exceed 35 feet in height at any point.

Staff Comment. The proposed front elevation does not exceed 35 feet in height from grade at any point as dimensioned on sheet A3.2 which is attached. This condition has been satisfied.
b. The building height at any other elevation does not exceed 45 feet.

Staff Comment. The proposed side and rear elevation maximum is 42 feet 11 inches, as dimensioned on sheet A3.2 which is attached. This condition has been satisfied.
c. The environmental and topographical conditions of the lot prior to building development are naturally suited to the design of a building with an egress or walkout level

Staff Comment. Based on the review of the plans, topography, site and the Ramsey County interactive property maps and contours, the site and the proposed home appears conducive to the site's natural layout. In addition, the City will review all erosion control measures to ensure that the construction project does not adversely affect the surrounding environment. The City Engineer will make periodic site visits during construction to ensure all erosion control measures are fully complied with. This condition has been satisfied.
d. Buildings shall be limited to a basement and 2 full stories. Finished areas within the roof structure will be considered a full story.

NorthOaks
Building on a tradition of innovation

Staff Comment. The proposed home is 2 full stories with a basement. This condition has been satisfied.
e. Any time the side or rear elevations of a building exceed 35 feet in height within 50 feet of adjacent lot lines, the building shall be setback an additional 2 feet from the adjacent setback line for each foot in height above 35 feet.

Staff Comment. The proposed side and rear elevation maximum is 42 feet 11 inches. The proposed building height is 7 feet 11 inches greater than the allowable 35 -foot building height. For every foot above 35 feet, an additional 2 feet of setback is required for all setbacks. This would require an additional 16 feet, or 46 feet versus the required 30 -foot setbacks. The proposed setbacks are well in excess of the required 46 -foot setbacks. This condition has been satisfied.

Staff Comment. This condition has been satisfied.
Evaluation Criteria 2. In consideration of conditional use permit applications to allow for a home greater than 35 feet in height, Title 151.076 (CUP review Criteria) of the Zoning Ordinance states that certain criteria must be considered. Such criteria, as well as a Staff response, is provided below:
(1) Relationship of the proposed conditional use to the Comprehensive Plan;

Staff Comment. The 2040 Comprehensive Plan identifies this site to be in a Low Density Single Family Land Use District, which anticipates single family homes. This condition has been satisfied.
(2) The nature of the land and adjacent land or building where the use is to be located.

Staff Comment. The new home will sit on a platted lot of record that was previously deemed appropriate for single family residential use. This condition has been satisfied.
(3) Whether the use will in any way depreciate the area in which it is proposed.

Staff Comment. The proposed home is similar to other homes in the area and will blend with the neighborhood in a positive way. This condition has been satisfied.
(4) The effect upon traffic into and from the land and on adjoining roads, streets, and highways;

Staff Comment. During the platting process for the subdivision traffic impacts were vetted and the single family residential use was not deemed to have a negative impact on surrounding roads above normal background traffic growth that accompanies new development. This condition has been satisfied.
(5) Whether the use would disrupt the reasonable use and enjoyment of other land in the neighborhood;

Staff Comment. The proposed request for a building height variance is not out of character with other single family homes of the neighborhood. Exhibits D-G are building elevation and Floor Plan detail sheets, AND Exhibit H is a proximity distance map to surrounding neighbors. This condition has been satisfied.
(6) Whether adequate utilities, roads, streets, and other facilities exist or will be available in the near future.

Staff Comment. The subdivision is served by adequate public infrastructure that would not be impacted any differently than if a shorter home was constructed. This condition has been satisfied.
(7) Whether the proposed conditional use conforms to all of the provisions of this chapter.

Staff Comment. This condition has been satisfied.
(8) The effect upon natural drainage patterns onto and from the site.

Staff Comment. Final grading on the site will work with existing contours and drainage patterns. This condition has been satisfied.
(9) Whether the proposed use will be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, comfort, convenience, or general welfare of the neighborhood or the city.

Staff Comment. The additional height requires additional setback distances which decrease impacts on adjacent land uses. This condition has been satisfied.

100 Village Center Drive, Suite 230
North Oaks, MN 55127
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(10) Whether the proposed use would create additional requirements at public cost for public facilities and services and whether or not the use will be detrimental to the economic welfare of the neighborhood or city; and

Staff Comment. The construction of a taller house would not put any additional burdens on the public than if a shorter single family home was constructed. This condition has been satisfied.
(11) Whether the proposed use is environmentally sound and will not involve uses, activities, processes, materials, equipment, and conditions of operation that will be detrimental to any persons, land, or the general welfare because of excessive production of traffic, noise, smoke, fumes, wastes, toxins, glare, or odors.

Staff Comment. Beyond initial construction activity, the proposed use and height request should not be deemed detrimental to the environment or surrounding area. This condition has been satisfied.

## ACTION

Move to approve the resolution approving the conditional use permit for a 42-foot 11inch building height where 35 feet is permitted at 28 Catbird Lane, as recommended by the Planning Commission.
cc: Luke Kothman, Builder
Roberta Colotti, NOHOA


## EXHIBIT B

## HENDEL

We are requesting a conditional use permit for a proposed new home at the address 38 Catbird Lane. This is a new construction residential home.

## Height

- The grade at the front of the house is $3.5^{\prime}$ lower than the grade at the curb and 4.5' lower from the center of the Cul-De-Sac. This will make the house appear shorter in height when viewed from the front/road.
- Visibility of the rear/basement will be obstructed on all sides by either trees or earth, therefore making the visible portion of the building less than the 35' requirement. To view the entire height structure, one would need to be standing on property, on the back property lines of the adjacent lots, or in the wetland. No other house would be able to view 43.8' of this structure from their home or standing within 50 from their home.
- $\quad 151.050$ paragraph 7 line E regarding a minimum distance of $35^{\prime}$ from the setback will not be violated. Our proposed distance is from the left setback is $55 . ' 5$ a nd $108.5^{\prime}$ from the right setback.
- The aesthetics of this house would be greatly compromised if the roof was flattened to conform with the 35' height requirement.
- The design of this house is in line with many of the other existing home of this size in the development. In our opinion, a flattened roof would be more out of characterfor the neighborhood than what we are proposing.
- Due to the specific grades, this house cannot be lowered into the ground any more than what we're proposing. There's already an $8 \%$ negative slope on the driveway, anymore than that would be a safety hazard.


## Soils

- We also intend to move more than 100 cubic yards of soil to complete construction of the home. We're waiting for soils testing to be completed before we'll know what that a mount is.

Luke Kothman | Project Manager
15250 Wayzata Blvd.
Wayzata, MN 55391
952.404.7204 Office
952.913.2111 Cell

LKothman@hendelhomes.com




EXHIBIT D

| Sheet Lis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheet Number | Sreet Name |  |  |
| A1.0 cov | Coversherand roof pla |  |  |
| A2.1 Low |  |  |  |
| A2.2 mam | MAM LVEl floor pan |  |  |
|  | Extrior elivatons |  |  |
| A3, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - Ex1e |  |  |  |
| A3.2 | EXERROR REVATONS |  |  |
| A4.2 вulm | Bulinm sccions |  |  |
| A4, 3 Buln | Bublicg schions |  |  |
| A4,4 вulu | Suls |  |  |
| A5. 1 foun |  |  |  |
| A5.2 MISC | MICCELANEOUSEXEROR DEEAIS |  |  |
| ${ }_{52.2}^{8.1}$ | MAIM LVVE STVUC TRE |  |  |
| 523 MAM |  |  |  |
| 524 SECCl | Mal Lele |  |  |
| S25 cone | concreit dealis |  |  |
| ${ }_{20}^{2.6}$ fram |  |  |  |
| S2.7 2 20 |  |  |  |
| Eulling Ove |  |  |  |
|  | Area | Level | Type |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1799 SF | Lower Level | Conotioneo |
| Manifloor |  |  |  |
| man level | ${ }^{206065}$ | Main Floor | Conotrioneo |
|  |  |  |  |
| Second Floor |  |  |  |
|  |  | Second for | ConobitoneD CONOITONED |
| otioned | ${ }^{2583}$ SF |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{678885}$ |  |  |
| unconotioned |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {L }}^{\text {Leverchever }}$ M |  | Lower Level | UnCONOTITINED |
|  | 598 SF |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PronNT}_{\text {Porch }}$ | 46 SF |  | Unconotit |
| $\underset{\text { CaRaEE }}{\text { SCREN PORCH }}$ | 127 SF |  | UNCONOTIONNED |
|  |  |  |  |
| UNCONDITIONED | 2301 S |  |  |
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## Kendra Lindahl, AICP

To:
Kevin Kress
Subject:
RE: Planning Commission Public Hearing Comment - 38 Catbird Lane - June 30th

From: Jonathan Pieronek [jonathan.pieronek@att.net](mailto:jonathan.pieronek@att.net)
Sent: Sunday, June 19, 2022 6:06 PM
To: Kevin Kress [KKress@northoaksmn.gov](mailto:KKress@northoaksmn.gov)
Subject: Planning Commission Public Hearing Comment - 38 Catbird Lane - June 30th

Caution: This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

North Oaks Planning Commission,
We are the owners of 6 Cherrywood Lane and received mail correspondence regarding the public hearing on June 30th for 38 Catbird Lane.
We are supportive of the request for allowance of a home in excess of 35 feet in height.
Thank you,
Jon and Shannon Pieronek

| From: | Steve McDaniels |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Kendra Lindahl, AICP |
| Subject: | Good afternoon |
| Date: | Thursday, June 23, 2022 9:34:59 PM |

My name is Steve McDaniels. My wife Jan and I live at 37 Catbird Lane. I noticed there is a hearing (June 30) requesting a CUP for a height variance for the upcoming 38 Catbird Lane build. I don't know the specifics of this build situation. However, I am aware that the lot has a significant lower elevation to the north (rear of the home) which, in my opinion, lends itself to be a home with a walkout. I assume this is a primary reason for the request. We do not have an objection to the CUP in this circumstance.

Steve McDaniels

# CITY OF NORTH OAKS RAMSEY COUNTY, MINNESOTA RESOLUTION NO. 

## RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A HOME IN EXCESS OF 35 FEET IN HEIGHT FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS 38 CATBIRD LANE

WHEREAS, an application for a Conditional Use Permit has been submitted by Hendel Homes, the owner of the real property described below, to allow for the construction of a home in excess of 35 feet in height on real property located at 38 Catbird Lane, North Oaks, Ramsey County, Minnesota, legal described on the attached EXHIBIT A; and

WHEREAS, a Conditional Use Permit is required for a home in excess of 35 feet in height; and

WHEREAS, the request has been reviewed against the relevant requirements of North Oaks Zoning Ordinance Sections 151.050 and 151.076 , regarding the criteria for issuance of a Conditional Use Permit, and meets the minimum standards, is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, is in conformance with the Zoning Ordinance, and does not have a negative impact on public health, safety, or welfare; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing concerning the Conditional Use Permit was held before the North Oaks Planning Commission in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Section 462.357, subd. 3, on June 30, 2022 at which hearing the Planning Commission voted 6-0-1 to recommend approval of the Conditional Use Permit application, subject to certain conditions.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF NORTH OAKS, that a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a home in excess of 35 feet in height, is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The home shall be constructed in accordance to plan sets dated and received on May 25, 2022.
2. The proposed home shall meet all required setbacks and other zoning standards prior to the issuance of a building permit.
3. The conditions of Title 151.027(D)2 shall be satisfied before the issuance of a building permit. The building plan application shall contain an erosion and sediment control plan.
4. No soil stockpiling or vehicular activity shall be permitted in the planned SSTS locations.
5. Tree disturbance should be strategically completed and remaining trees abutting construction disturbance areas shall have tree protection barriers installed at the dripline.
6. Erosion control shall be in place prior to the beginning of construction.
7. Plans shall be approved by the Building Official prior to the beginning of construction.
8. Any outstanding fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of a building permit.
9. Compliance with comments of other City Staff.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk, Deputy City Clerk, or City Attorney are hereby authorized and directed to record a certified copy of this Resolution with the Ramsey County Registrar of Titles.

Adopted by the City Council of the City of North Oaks this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2022.

By:
Kara Ries
Its: Mayor

Attested:

By:
Kevin Kress
Its: City Administrator

## EXHIBIT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Real property located in Ramsey County, Minnesota legally described as follows:
Tract F, Registered Land Survey No. 604, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
PID: 053022430004

Torrens Property

# CITY OF NORTH OAKS RAMSEY COUNTY, MINNESOTA RESOLUTION NO. 1465 

## RESOLUTION APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A HOME IN EXCESS OF 35 FEET IN HEIGHT FOR PROPERTY ADDRESS 38 CATBIRD LANE

WHEREAS, an application for a Conditional Use Permit has been submitted by Hendel Homes, the owner of the real property described below, to allow for the construction of a home in excess of 35 feet in height on real property located at 38 Catbird Lane, North Oaks, Ramsey County, Minnesota, legal described on the attached EXHIBIT A; and

WHEREAS, a Conditional Use Permit is required for a home in excess of 35 feet in height; and

WHEREAS, the request has been reviewed against the relevant requirements of North Oaks Zoning Ordinance Sections 151.050 and 151.076, regarding the criteria for issuance of a Conditional Use Permit, and meets the minimum standards, is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, is in conformance with the Zoning Ordinance, and does not have a negative impact on public health, safety, or welfare; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing concerning the Conditional Use Permit was held before the North Oaks Planning Commission in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Section 462.357, subd. 3, on June 30, 2022 at which hearing the Planning Commission voted 6-0-1 to recommend approval of the Conditional Use Permit application, subject to certain conditions.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY
OF NORTH OAKS, that a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a home in excess of 35 feet in height, is approved subject to the following conditions:

1. The home shall be constructed in accordance to plan sets dated and received on May 25, 2022.
2. The proposed home shall meet all required setbacks and other zoning standards prior to the issuance of a building permit.
3. The conditions of Title $151.027(\mathrm{D}) 2$ shall be satisfied before the issuance of a building permit. The building plan application shall contain an erosion and sediment control plan.
4. No soil stockpiling or vehicular activity shall be permitted in the planned SSTS locations.
5. Tree disturbance should be strategically completed and remaining trees abutting construction disturbance areas shall have tree protection barriers installed at the dripline.
6. Erosion control shall be in place prior to the beginning of construction.
7. Plans shall be approved by the Building Official prior to the beginning of construction.
8. Any outstanding fees shall be paid prior to the issuance of a building permit.
9. Compliance with comments of other City Staff.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk, Deputy City Clerk, or City Attorney are hereby authorized and directed to record a certified copy of this Resolution with the Ramsey County Registrar of Titles.

Adopted by the City Council of the City of North Oaks this $14^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2022.

By:
Kara Ries
Its: Mayor
Attested:

By:
Kevin Kress
Its: City Administrator

## EXHIBIT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

Real property located in Ramsey County, Minnesota legally described as follows:
Tract F, Registered Land Survey No. 604, Ramsey County, Minnesota.
PID: 053022430004
Torrens Property

